



# Assessment of forest decline and forest regeneration process from combined space/airborne data

## **Global Change Research Centre**

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Brno, Czech Republic

> **Frantisek ZEMEK,** Jan Novotný, Miroslav Pikl, Siri Jodha Khalsa, Michal Heřman, Jan Hanuš

#### What questions can occur if we see forest like these.

## What happened there?



#### What is the trajectory of the development?



## Will be a "regular" forest there again?

Mar Te #



Are there similar stand conditions for the regeneration?























www.czechglobe.cz







## Focus of the study

- When the forest decline started, its spatial extent in time.
- What vegetation cover is now there?
- How much time each part had already for the recovery?
- What is a role of different stand conditions in regeneration?
- What can be a role of forest management? Is there a difference in regeneration process between areas with and without forestry intervention?



## Approach

To get **standardized assessment** of the whole area - data obtained by the same method for the whole region

- 1. Detail **field measurements** about few sites geobotanists (subjective method)
- 2. Space/airborne data about the territory
  - Time series of satellite data
  - Scarce, purpose-oriented aerial photos/data
  - Newly acquired airborne multi/hyperspectral data and LiDAR



#### Four categories of analyses have been carried out:

- 1. Image segmentation of satellite Landsat TM time series data
- 2. Image segmentation of hyperspectral and LiDAR data
- **3. GIS analysis** of orographical parameters elevation, slope, topographic wetness index (TWI), solar radiation (SR), time span of regeneration
- 4. Statistical analysis: General regression model

**Dependent variables:** 1/projected tree area

2/number of trees in 30 m pixels

**Explanation variables**: elevation, slope, TWI, SR, type of forest intervention, regeneration time

## **Retrospective analysis of forest decline/regeneration**



#### Landsat 5 TM

11 scenes between 1987 – 2011, scene 192/26, 30×30 m pixel size, 6 spectral bands



#### Hyperspectral data

AISA Eagle, August 2009 spatial resolution 0.4 m, spectral resolution 10 nm and spectral range 400-1000 nm

LiDAR data TopEye Mk II 1064 nm, October 2010 cloud density 1 point / m2



Żelezná Ruda ( www.czechglobe.cz

Vimperk

## 1987

Spruce 30+
Spruce 15+
Clearcut
Dead and highly damaged Spruce
Scattered spruce 5+
Broadleaved and mixed forest
Agriculture land and settlements
Open water bodies
Clouds and shadows
National borderline

Germany











Żelezná Ruda (



Austria

Vimperk

Germany





Spruce 30+

Spruce 15+

Clearcut

- 2





Spruce 30+

Spruce 15+

Clearcut

- 2





Żelezná Ruda (



Vimperk

Spruce 30+
Spruce 15+
Clearcut
Dead and highly damaged Spruce
Scattered spruce 5+
Broadleaved and mixed forest
Agriculture land and settlements
Open water bodies
Clouds and shadows
National borderline

Germany

Austria



Spruce 30+

Spruce 15+

Open water bodies

National borderline

Clearcut

~~?.





Železná Ruda (







Železná Ruda (



Spruce 30+
Spruce 15+
Clearcut
Dead and highly damaged Spruce
Scattered spruce 5+
Broadleaved and mixed forest
Agriculture land and settlements
Open water bodies
Clouds and shadows
National borderline

Germany

Austria



Żelezná Ruda (



Vimperk

Spruce 30+
Spruce 15+
Clearcut
Dead and highly damaged Spruce
Scattered spruce 5+
Broadleaved and mixed forest
Agriculture land and settlements
Open water bodies
Clouds and shadows
National borderline

Germany

Austria



www.czechglobe.cz

### Spatial distribution and time of decline/regeneration





## Hyperspectral data

#### www.czechglobe.cz

#### **Preprocessing:**

radiometric correction in CaliGeo (Specim) atmospheric correction in ATCOR-4 (DLR) geometric correction in PARGE (ReSe)

#### www.czechglobe.cz







## LiDAR input

#### **Processing:**

splitting into two groups: surface points and terrain points

interpolation of digital terrain model (DTM) and digital surface model (DSM)

calculation of a map of pixel height by subtraction of DTM from DSM





#### www.czechglobe.cz

#### **Object oriented classification**

## spectral information - from HS data (PCA transformation) structural information - tree height derived from LiDAR data

Results of object-oriented classification

Classification inputs: hyperspectral data and map of tree heights







#### **Recent forest cover**

#### Vegetation classes







## Results

Difference (p<0,001) in the **projected tree area** between unmanaged plots (low cover), afforested plots after the dead trees logging (medium) and reforested plots without the dead trees logging (highest)

Projected tree area is positively correlated with time of regeneration process, global radiation, TWI and negatively correlated with elevation

The number of trees (per pixel) under different management follows similar difference as that of the tree area

The number of trees in a pixel is positively related with time of regeneration process, global radiation and negatively correlated with elevation



www.czechglobe.cz

## Thank you for your attention.

